

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DA	TE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/896,235	06/29/2001		Martin Hurich	10191/1839	9413	
26646	7590 09	9/09/2004		EXAM	INER	
KENYON & KENYON				PORTKA, GARY J		
ONE BROADWAY NEW YORK, NY 10004				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2188		
				DATE MAILED: 09/09/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicat	tion No.	Applicant(s)				
•	09/896,2	235	HURICH, MARTIN				
Office Action Summar	Examine	er	Art Unit				
	Gary J P		2188				
The MAILING DATE of this com Period for Reply	munication appears on th	he cover sheet wi	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMM - Extensions of time may be available under the provafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this - If the period for reply specified above is less than the second of the sec	MUNICATION. risions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no eacommunication. nirty (30) days, a reply within the statum statutory period will apply and reply will, by statute, cause the aponths after the mailing date of this control.	event, however, may a r satutory minimum of thirt will expire SIX (6) MON pplication to become AE	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s		<i>c</i>					
2a) This action is FINAL .	2b) ☐ This action is		tare prosperation as to the marite is				
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the day of the above claim(s) 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to respect	is/are withdrawn from c						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to	•						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is Applicant may not request that any							
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is object			·				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a case a) All b) Some * c) None 1. Certified copies of the pri 2. Certified copies of the pri 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri 3. See the attached detailed Office	of: ority documents have be ority documents have be pies of the priority docun national Bureau (PCT R	een received. een received in A ments have been ule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)		4) 🖂 Intender	Summary (PTO-413)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Rev Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-14 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/896,235 Page 2

Art Unit: 2188

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1 and 4 were amended by Applicant. Claims 1-4 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 1 and 4 recite that the second subareas are in front of or behind each of the first subareas. Although it appears this is intended to signify that a second subarea may be before (relative to address), or after, a first subarea, since these are subareas of a "non-volatile memory area" the language appears to mean a physical are, for example on a chip layout. That is, Applicants amendment did not clarify Examiner's previous questions/concerns that the language might be interpreted as simply depicting the physical locations of the subareas on a device. Because the desired limitation intended to be covered by the claim language is indefinite and the metes and bounds of the claim cannot be determined, claims 1 and 4, and 2 and 3 which incorporate the limitations of claim 1, are rejected.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the

Application/Control Number: 09/896,235 Page 3

Art Unit: 2188

applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

- 5. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sassa, U.S. Patent 6,144,607.
- 6. As to claims 1 and 4, Sassa discloses the invention recited as well as can be understood (see paragraph 112 rejection above), with method and controller for writing/erasing a non-volatile memory (22, Fig. 2, Figs. 3A and 3B), writing a release pattern (end flag) after error-free writing, and writing an invalidity pattern (setting erase flag to erase state) prior to erase; the erase flags may be considered to be in front of or behind each of the end flags to the extent recited since through the plurality of pages shown in Fig. 3B and 3C, the erase flag of each page is in front of the end flag of each corresponding page (see Fig. 3C, col. 2 lines 4-16, col. 4 lines 59-67, and col. 5 lines 1-63).
- 7. As to claim 2, the patterns (flags) may be considered to not correspond to the contents of the erased modules.
- 8. As to claim 3, the erase flag would be read as recited since it's purpose is to note an area that is being erased (and thus cannot be read).

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments filed July 2, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. It is first acknowledged that in response to the objections regarding "release pattern" that the language may be simply interpreted as a marker that validates data. Applicants have argued that is Sassa the erase flag of a page is after the end flag

Application/Control Number: 09/896,235

Art Unit: 2188

of the page before it but in front of the end flag on the same page, and thus is not in front of or behind each end flag. However as stated in the claim, "second subareas in front of or behind each of the first subareas" relates a plurality of items to another plurality, and may be interpreted as each second subarea in relation to each respective first subarea as stated in the rejection above. Further, even if the language "in front of or behind each" means that all of the second subareas are in front of or behind all of the first subareas, as an example some earlier page second subareas and some latter page first subareas may be selected to read on the claims.

Conclusion

10. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary J Portka whose telephone number is (703) 305-4033. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30 AM - 6:00 PM.

Art Unit: 2188

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mano Padmanabhan can be reached on (703) 306-2903. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gary J Portka Primary Examiner Art Unit 2188

September 5, 2004